ACRL Science and Technology Section Continuing Education Survey 2009 STS Continuing Education Committee

Report Compiled by Kara Whatley, New York University April 2011

About the Survey

The Continuing Education Committee of the Association of College & Research Libraries (ACRL) Science and Technology Section (STS) conducts a biennial survey to identify the continuing education interest of its constituents. In Fall 2009, the survey was distributed through STS-L, the discussion list of the Science and Technology Section of ACRL; SLA-DST, the discussion list of the Science-Technology Division of SLA; CHMINF-L, Chemical Information Sources Discussion List; and ELD-L, the discussion list for members of the Engineering Libraries Division (ELD) of the American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE). A total of 111 responses were received, significantly less than the 375 responses received in 2007 when an incentive for completing the survey was offered. The results of this survey are presented in this report. The full survey, including all answer options, is appended to this report.

The 2009 survey was prepared by the STS Continuing Education Committee. Analysis of the data collected and the preparation of this report were done by Kara Whatley. Questions about this survey may be directed to Kara Whatley, kara.whatley@nyu.edu.

Results Summary

Based on this sample our typical science and technology librarian is a female who holds an undergraduate or graduate degree in a science, applied science, or engineering field; has been a librarian for ten or fewer years; and works in an academic library.

78.7% of respondents were female

57.6% of respondents hold an undergraduate or graduate degree in the sciences

50.9% of respondents graduated from library school 10 or fewer years ago

93.7% of respondents work in an academic library

Our typical science and technology librarian is also a member of ALA, ACRL, and STS. She may also be a member of SLA, ASEE, and various other library associations, including her state library association.

84.3% of respondents were members of ALA

79.6% of respondents were members of ACRL

73.1% of respondents were members of STS

25.9% of respondents were members of SLA (includes appropriate "Other" responses)

25.9% of respondents were members of ASEE

This typical librarian prefers to receive continuing education via online information, but she is also open to in person continuing education at a workshop, preconference, or traditional credit course.

72.1% of respondents prefer web-based information

36.% of respondents prefer distance education credit courses

24.3% of respondents prefer email tutorials

59.5% of respondents prefer national conferences/preconferences

47.7% of respondents prefer in-person workshops

10.8% of respondents prefer traditional credit courses

The typical science and technology librarian responding to this survey is most interested in the learning more about the future roles for libraries and librarianship. She also wants to learn more about the future of reference technologies and about how to further her collaborations with faculty and students. This librarian also sees a need to learn more about library instruction, assessment, and surveys; specifically assessment related to the evaluation of existing services and the development of new services. She is equally interested in keeping current with technology and advancing her skills in the specific subject areas in which she works.

On a scale of 1-5, Future Roles for Libraries and Librarianship: 3.86

On a scale of 1-5, Future of Reference Technologies: 3.74

On a scale of 1-5, Collaboration with Faculty and Students: 3.73

On a scale of 1-5, Library Instruction, Assessment and Surveys: 3.71

On a scale of 1-5, Evaluating Existing Services/Developing New Services: 3.67

On a scale of 1-5, Keeping Current with Technology: 3.65

On a scale of 1-5, Specific Subject Area Librarianship – Advanced: 3.65

Detailed Results

Q1. Where do you work?

Answer Options:	Response Percent	Response Count	
Academic library	93.7%		104
Corporate library	0.0%		0
Government library	2.7%		3
Public library	0.9%		1
Other type of library or library			
organization	0.0%		0
Do not work in a library	2.7%		3
	answered question		111
	skipped question		0

Q2. Years since receiving your master's degree in library science

Answer Options:	Response Percent	Response Count	
Less than 3	20.0%	2	2
3-5 years	12.7%	1-	4
5-10 years	18.2%	2	0
More than 10 years	49.1%	5	4
	answered question	11	0
	skipped question		1

Q3. What is your background in the sciences, applied sciences, or engineering?

Answer Options:	Response Percent	Response Count
Some undergraduate study	24.3%	27
Undergraduate degree(s)	27.9%	31
Some graduate study	4.5%	5
Masters degree(s)	21.6%	24
Ph.d.(s)	8.1%	9
Continuing education	8.1%	9
Non-librarian work		
experience	16.2%	18
Other	5.4%	6
None of the above	11.7%	13
	answered question	111
	skipped question	0

Q4. What is your gender identity?

Answer Options:	Response Percent	Response Count
female	78.7%	85
genderqueer	0.9%	1
male	20.4%	22
transgender	0.0%	0
	answered question	108
	skipped question	3

Q5. What professional organizations do you belong to?

Answer Options:	Response Percent	Response Count	
ALA	84.3%		91
ACRL	79.6%		86
STS	73.1%		79
ACS CID	1.9%		2
ASEE ELD	25.9%		28
SLA STD	16.7%		18
Other (please specify)	36.1%		39

Q8. On a scale of 1-5 with 1 being "least interested" and 5 "very interested," please indicate how interested you are in learning more about the following topics related to Librarianship.

Answer Options:	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Building and utilizing								
institutional repositories	9	30	41	19	5	0	2.82	104
Collection development, print								
and electronic resources	4	11	39	34	16	0	3.45	104
Improving document delivery								
services	21	41	26	9	2	3	2.29	102
Library instruction,								
assessment and surveys	3	13	19	45	24	0	3.71	104

Q10. On a scale of 1-5 with 1 being "least interested" and 5 "very interested," please indicate how interested you are in learning more about the following topics related to Changing Times.

Answer Options:	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	N/A	Rating Average	Response Count
Alternative publishing models and the cost of serials Copyright in the electronic	4	12	33	39	17	0	3.5	105
age	6	14	37	35	11	1	3.3	104
Future of reference								
technologies	0	12	22	51	19	0	3.74	104
Future roles for libraries and								
librarianship	2	6	24	43	28	0	3.86	103
Library as place	9	15	36	33	10	0	3.19	103
							answered	
							question	105
							skipped question	6

Q11. On a scale of 1-5 with 1 being "least interested" and 5 "very interested," please indicate how interested you are in learning more about the following topics related to Professional Development.

Answer Options:	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>N/A</u>	Rating Average	Response Count
Collaboration with faculty								
and students	3	11	24	39	27	0	3.73	104
Consortial collaboration	7	21	39	27	9	0	3.1	103
Management skills,								
budgeting, personnel								
recruiting, training, and								
evaluation, etc.	18	22	29	24	10	0	2.86	103
Professional advancement	15	18	30	29	12	0	3.05	104
							answered	
							question	104
							skipped question	7

Q12. Did rating the pre-selected topics jog your memory about other topics of interest? If so, please describe.

Answer	
Options:	Response Count
	19
answered	
question	19
skipped	
question	92

Q13. Do you have any other suggestions for ACRL, ACS, ASEE or SLA regarding their continuing education opportunities? If so, please describe.

Answer Options:	Response Count
	19
answered question	19
skipped question	92

Appendix I: STS Continuing Education Survey 2009 Questions

2009 STS Continuing Education Survey Tell us about yourself.

1. Where do you work?

Academic library

Corporate library

Government library

Public library

Other type of library or library organization

Do not work in a library

2. Years since receiving your master's degree in library science

Less than 3

3-5 years

5-10 years

More than 10 years

Continuing education Non-librarian work experience Other None of the above

13	11/03/2009	USAIN
14	11/03/2009	carl
15	11/03/2009	SLA PAM
16	11/03/2009	ACS CINF
17	11/03/2009	California Academic & Research Libraries
		OCULA, OLA, CACUL, CLA (Canadian Library
18	11/03/2009	organizations)
19	11/03/2009	USAIN, AgNIC
20	11/03/2009	CBPQ
21	11/03/2009	MLA
22	11/03/2009	ASEE, GSIS, MPLA
23	11/03/2009	AAAS, Sigma Xi
24	11/03/2009	State library association
25	11/04/2009	MLA
26	11/04/2009	AACP
27	11/05/2009	SLA, NASIG, ASIST, other ALA divisions
28	11/05/2009	sla PAM
29	11/23/2009	MPLA, State Association
30	11/23/2009	ACRL-IS, ALA-SRRT
31	11/30/2009	USAIN
		ACRL EBSS, AAAS, Society for Scholarly
32	11/30/2009	Publishing
33	11/30/2009	GSIS
34	11/30/2009	none
35	11/30/2009	GSIS, AESS
36	11/30/2009	ASIST ACM ALISE
37	12/01/2009	ASIST
38	12/01/2009	SLA PAM
39	12/01/2009	Canadian Library Assoc
		-

Q6. How would you prefer to receive continuing education?

Respondents	Response Date	Other (please specify)
	11/03/200	
1	9	Web based tutorial; Virtual Conference
	11/03/200	
2	9	web based courses, non-credit courses
	11/03/200	
3	9	sessions during ALA not pre conf
	11/03/200	Acrobat Connect is good. Also, I really like conference
4	9	sessions as a way to keep informed.
	11/03/200	Incorporated as part of hte regular conference programming
5	9	- isn't that why I go to a conference?
	11/03/200	
6	9	webbinar like Knovel does
7	11/03/200	reading literature in the disciplines

9
11/17/200
8
9
Webinars, if not encompassed in web-based info
12/01/200
9
9
webinar

Q12. Did rating the pre-selected topics jog your memory about other topics of interest? If so, please describe.

	Response	
<u>Respondents</u>	<u>Date</u>	Response Text
	10/30/200	
1	9	Scholarly communication
	11/03/200	
2	9	nope, nothing sprang immediately to mind
	11/03/200	NAC 1 11 1 199
3	9	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	11/03/200 9	•
4	11/03/200	especially in the sciences.
5	11/03/200	buying green library furniture and such
J	11/03/200	
6	9	
		instruction for graduate students - thesis students; course
	11/03/200	masters (older students, often internationally trained). Short
7	9	Courses - 2-3 days - like engineers do (they're usually M - F)
		My interest would depend upon the scope and focus of the
		topics described. There are whole areas of the application of
	44/00/000	technology to libraries that were excluded from the list of
8	11/03/200 9	
O	9	promoting change throughout the library organization (takes
		more than one); getting the rest of the staff on-board with
	11/03/200	
9	9	much
		Emerging technologies - changing/moving target & keeping
		up with things is essential; also each library/institution has
		different policies about what can be used - particularly
	11/03/200	,
10	9	they have been applied to different functions
		"How to shake some sense into the average faculty member,
	11/03/200	graduate student, and undergraduate student to make them realize what a vast trove of resources and services them tary/e noy/e(acapic
11	11/03/20 9	realize what a vast trove of resources and services them tary/e hoy/e(acapic
200 1	11/00/200	1
10		

	11/04/200	
14	9	No
		it covers the subjects I'm interested in. As a new subject- specific science librarian, I'm hoping to build up more
	11/01/200	
		knowledge in chemistry, physics, geology, environmental
15	9	science, etc. Part of that is to maintain a better collection.
	11/05/200	
16	9	Librarian/Faculty partnerships
	11/23/200	collaboration with faculty/researchers to extend scientific
17	9	literacy to a broader swath of the population
	11/30/200	
18	9	e-science
	11/30/200	Data curation is a critical area for STS librarians now; it
19	9	should be included in the survey.

Q13. Do you have any other suggestions for ACRL, ACS, ASEE or SLA regarding their continuing education opportunities? If so, please describe.

Respondents	Response Date	Response Text
1	10/30/200 9	Continuing education opportunies should be free for members especially in these economic times.
		Put together some joint things, developed across the groups, that could be offered to all (or at least most) of the
	11/03/200	groups. For instance, a copyright or author rights workshop
2	9	might play well to librarians from all those groups.
	11/03/200	No, but I do not see the relatioship between the substance
3	9	of the survey(CE programs) and the question about gender.
		HOw about some jointly offered ed opportunities. e.g. with
	11/03/200	ASEE, CMS vendors; publishers, Engineering Education
4	9	groups; Professional Engineering Societies
		Again, most of my interests and involvements are outside of
		library organizations. While organizations like CNI may not
	11/02/200	offer continuing education, they are critical to staying up to
5	11/03/200 9	date with where things are going. The approach here seems to be on what I would call, "remedial education."
3	9	continuing education needs vary by size of the organization;
		many of the programs seesm geared toward people in ARL
		size libraries where you might actually have someone who is
		the copyright librarian (for example). How can smaller
	11/03/200	institutions, where a person wears multiple hats keep up
6	9	with all the expectations
	11/03/200	for Web-based or online CE maybe partner with USAIN,
7	9	AgNIC, SLA or other similar organizations
_	11/03/200	Need flexibility - webinars are too rigid if only available at a
8	9	given time, etc.
•	11/03/200	I'm most likely to learn about continuing ed. opportunities via
9	9	e-mails I hope ACRL and ASEE/ELD will be sure to use e-

10 11	11/04/200 9 11/04/200 9 11/04/200	mails to advertise. Would be interested in Web-based (credit or non-credit) continuing ed. opportunities. I was not aware that ACRL had continuing education opportunities. In fact, I've been told they do not.
12	9	No
		The initiatives sounds good. Webinars, remote trainings
13	11/04/200 9	seem appropriate for this time, considering restricted funding for travel.
	44/05/000	Electronic destruction of Libraries. What's the balance for
14	11/05/200 9	good librarianship and the opportunity to make room for tables and meeting places.
15	11/20/200 9	I could use subject training as a librarian who covers all the sciences. (Perhaps aimed at science librarians at small university's thus must have a broad knowledge base). Naturally, most people in this situation would not have backgrounds in all areas, & I think others like myself probably have very little academic training in the sciences. In small universities often anyone willing to take on the sciences gets put in the position, most people with an academic background in the sciences seem to go to a place where they can specialize in their expertise.
	11/23/200	
16	9 11/30/200	Remote access is best for lower cost, bad budget times
17	9	Preconferences on PubMed, IEEE and SciFinder Scholar Please seek out people who can lead and then schedule
18	11/30/200 9	data curation tutorials and workshops at your conferences and annual meetings. need some sessions on change management and similar
19	12/01/200 9	topics for managers in libraries - not just resource or skill based learning but sessions that support managers and enhancing the skills/knowledge/abilities of library staff